

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

ANTHONY J. BROOKS,

Plaintiff

v.

JACQUELINE BRYANT,

Defendant

Case No.: 3:19-cv-00457-APG-CLB


**Order Accepting Report and
Recommendation, Dismissing Complaint,
and Denying Pending Motions as Moot**

[ECF Nos. 3, 4, 7, 8, 14]

On February 4, 2020, Magistrate Judge Baldwin recommended that plaintiff Anthony Brooks' amended complaint be dismissed with prejudice and his other pending motions denied as moot. Brooks did not file an objection. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is made"); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) ("the district judge must review the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations de novo *if objection is made*, but not otherwise" (emphasis in original)).

I THEREFORE ORDER that Magistrate Judge Baldwin's report and recommendation (ECF No. 14) is **accepted**, plaintiff Anthony Brooks' amended complaint (ECF No. 12) is **DISMISSED with prejudice**, and all other pending motions (ECF Nos. 3, 4, 7, 8) are **DENIED as moot**. The clerk of court is instructed to enter judgment accordingly and to close this case.

DATED this 24th day of February, 2020.


ANDREW P. GORDON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE